

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3-5, 10, 12-14, 17-19, 22, 24, 25, 29-32, 36-39, 41-43, 46-50, 52, 58-60, 62, 63, 66, 67, 69, 70, and 73 are pending. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks. No new subject matter is being added by this response.

Claims 1, 10, 19, 25, 31, and 39 have been amended by the present Amendment. Claims 6-9, 11, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, 26-28, 33-35, 40, 44, 45, 51, 53-57, 61, 64, 65, 68, 71, and 72 have been canceled.

### Allowable Subject Matter

The indication that claims 48-50, 52, 58-60, 62, 63, 66, 67, 69, and 70 are allowed is gratefully noted.

### Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 3-5, 10, 12-14, 17-19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 29-32, 36-39, 41-43, 46, 47, and 73 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,212,067 to Henderson (“Henderson”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,621,252 to Johns et al (“Johns”). Claim 21 has been canceled. The rejections of the remaining claims are respectfully traversed, particularly in view of the present amendments. Claims 1, 10, 19, 25, 31, and 39 are the independent claims.

Claim 1 recites “wherein the display signal that identifies that the decoded radio frequency signal identifier diverging from the comparison radio frequency identifier further identifies one of that a radio frequency signal identifier was not decoded, and that the decoded radio frequency signal identifier does not correspond to the comparison radio frequency identifier.” Similarly, claim 10 recites “wherein the comparison signal is a signal that identifies one of the decoded radio frequency signal identifier corresponding to the selected one of the stored radio frequency identifiers, and the decoded radio frequency signal identifier diverging from the selected one of the stored radio frequency identifiers, and wherein the comparison signal further identifies one of that a radio frequency signal identifier was not decoded, and that the decoded radio frequency signal identifier does not correspond to the selected one of the

Application No. 09/902,963  
Response to Office Action of October 9, 2007

stored radio frequency identifiers.” The other independent claims (claims 19, 25, 31, and 39) also recite similar limitations. In the Allowable Subject Matter portion of the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledges that the cited prior art fails to disclose these limitations. Accordingly, independent claims 1, 10, 19, 25, 31, and 39 are allowable over Henderson and Johns. Dependent claims 3-5, 12-14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 29, 30, 32, 36-38, 41-43, 46, 47, and 73 depend on one of claims 1, 10, 19, 25, 31, and 39 and are allowable at least for that reason.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number listed below if it would in any way advance prosecution of this case.

While no other fees are believed due, the applicant hereby requests that any other required fee to maintain pendency of this case be charged to Deposit Account 50-2091.

Respectfully submitted,  
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ

Dated: January 9, 2008

By /CHAD C. ANDERSON REG. NO. 44,505/  
Chad C. Anderson  
Reg. No. 44,505  
(480) 385-5060

Customer No. 000128